STATE OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

Application of COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY, for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, Pursuant to Section 8-406 of the Illinois Public Utilities Act, to construct,

operate and maintain new 138,000 volt electric transmission lines in Cook County, Illinois.

No. 01-0514

Direct Testimony of

JOHN W. EGLASTON, P.E.

Transmission Engineer Commonwealth Edison Company

COMER Exhibit No. 2
Witness
Date 12:11:01 Reporter BAP

- 1 Q. What is your name and business address?
- 2 A. John W. Eglaston, Commonwealth Edison Company, Three Lincoln Centre, Oakbrook
- 3 Terrace, Illinois 60181-4260.
- 4 Q. What is your position at ComEd?
- 5 A. I am ComEd's Transmission Engineer for underground projects. This is a system-wide
- 6 position within Lines Engineering in the Planning and Engineering Organization of
- 7 ComEd.
- 8 Q. What are your duties as Transmission Engineer?
- 9 A. I am ComEd's chief engineer for underground transmission functions. As such, I
- supervise all engineering and estimating work relating to the siting of underground
- transmission lines in conjunction with the associated substations and other facilities. My
- duties encompass evaluating the suitability of potential rights-of-way and sites for the
- construction of electric utility facilities, estimating the cost of construction of the
- transmission line facilities, participating in the selection of a preferred site and/or route
- for such facilities, and the development of a basic design for the transmission line
- facilities which is safe and consistent with good engineering practice and legal
- requirements. My responsibilities also include the complete engineering and design
- functions for most underground transmission line projects.
- 19 Q. Please describe what other positions you have held at ComEd.
- 20 A. From 1997 until 2000, when I became Transmission Engineer, I held the title Principal
- Technical Expert. In that position I performed engineering assessments and verified the

adequacy of technical products, conducted training seminars and technical presentations, and provided technical expertise for generating station engineering departments.

From 1993 through 1997, I was Lead Design Engineer. I led a staff of ten structural engineers, providing them direction and technical expertise, and reviewing and approving technical designs, recommendations, studies and reports. I also allocated engineering resources to accommodate the requirements of particular projects.

From 1991, when I started at ComEd, through 1993, I was a Senior Engineer. My duties were to prepare the analysis, design and specifications for modifications to generating stations. I conducted studies, performed economic evaluations and formulated technical recommendations. I also directed, reviewed and coordinated outside consulting engineer activities.

33 Q. Did you have any other work experience before coming to ComEd?

A. Yes. From 1985 to 1991, I was a Senior Engineer at the engineering firm Raths, Raths & Johnson in Willowbrook, Illinois. I did structural engineering work including failure analysis, and the repair and rehabilitation of steel, concrete and masonry.

From 1980 to 1985, I was a structural engineer at GDS Associates in Chicago, where I did analysis, design, and modifications to electric generation facilities. And, from 1976 to 1980 I worked as a design engineer at Alfred Benesch & Co., doing structural analysis and design of highway bridges and railroads.

- 41 Q. What is your professional education?
- 42 A. I have a Bachelor's Degree from the Illinois Institute of Technology in Civil Engineering,
- a Master's Degree from the Illinois Institute of Technology in Civil Engineering, with a
- major in structural engineering, and a Master's Degree in Business Administration from
- DePaul University, with a major in finance.
- Q. Are you a Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Illinois or in any other state?
- 47 A. Yes, I am a Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Illinois. I am also licensed in
- Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin. I am also
- 49 a Registered Structural Engineer in the State of Illinois.
- 50 Q. To what professional organizations do you belong?
- 51 A. I am a member of the American Concrete Institute (ACI), the American Institute of Steel
- 52 Construction (AISC), American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), and Structural
- 53 Engineers Association of Illinois (SEAOI).
- 54 Q. How have you become familiar with the Petition in this proceeding?
- 55 A. As ComEd's Transmission Engineer, I have the responsibility for the conceptual design and
- engineering of these two proposed lines, as well as the analysis of possible alternative
- 57 designs and routes.
- 58 Q. To the best of your knowledge are the statements set forth in the Petition true and correct?
- 59 A. Yes, they are.

- 60 Q. What is the purpose of the Petition?
- A. To obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity authorizing ComEd to construct, operate, and maintain two new 138,000 volt underground electric transmission lines connecting two existing ComEd substations to a new substation to be known as TSS 126 State.
- 65 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in support of this Petition?
- 66 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the facilities which ComEd proposes to
 67 construct; to describe the process by which ComEd selected the route for those facilities; to
 68 explain why the proposed route and design should be approved; and to describe the process
 69 of constructing the proposed facilities and the cost thereof.
- 70 Q. What does Exhibit A to the Petition show?

76

77

78

79

80

A. Exhibit A shows the proposed route for the Line, as well as the typical cross sections of the conduit packages. It shows how the new transmission circuits will be contained in the conduit packages. While Exhibit A fairly represents the typical cross-sections, the design and location of the actual conduits may vary, as required by final engineering and construction needs.

Exhibit A shows where the proposed lines cross federal, state, and county highways and other major streets. It also shows the location of railroad tracks, the name of the railroad owning those tracks, the location of any pipelines and major power or communication lines to be crossed or paralleled within one-half mile of the line, and the names of the utilities owning or operating such lines. As Mr. Jones testifies, additional

- power and communication lines routinely associated with the local delivery of utility and telecommunications services also exist within one-half mile of the proposed line, but are too numerous to show on Exhibit A.
- Please describe the route of the proposed line from Quarry TDC to State TSS.
- As more fully described in Exhibit B to the Petition, the Quarry-to-State line will begin at
 ComEd's existing TDC 840 Quarry, and go under Senour Avenue to Archer Avenue, run
 under Archer Avenue to State Street, and then go north on State Street, ending up at the
 new proposed TSS 126 State at State and East 14th Place.
- 89 Q. What is the route of the other line, from LaSalle TSS to State TSS?
- As more fully described in Exhibit B to the Petition, the LaSalle-to-State line will begin at ComEd's existing TSS 68 LaSalle and go south about one-half block to Polk Street, then east on Polk to Clark Street, then south on Clark Street to E. 14th Street, east on 14th Street to State Street, and then on State Street south to the new TSS 126 State substation.
- 94 Q. Please describe the circuit configuration and the type and design of the proposed lines.
- 95 A. Generally, the two lines will have the same configuration and design. At the bottom of
 96 the package will be eight six-inch PVC plastic conduits. The transmission circuits will
 97 each use three 1200 mm² copper conductors with cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE)
 98 insulation. Each duct package will contain two three-phase 138 kV circuits, or six
 99 transmission conductors in total. The remaining two six-inch ducts will contain two 500
 100 kcmil parallel ground cables. Above the transmission conductors will be a concrete

- encasement containing twenty 5-inch PVC plastic conduits for distribution conductors
 and fiber optics for system protection and control.
- 103 Q. Why is ComEd proposing to use the routes specified on Exhibit A?
- 104 A. They are the shortest, least-cost routes for the lines. They use existing transportation
 105 corridors, and minimize the number of landowners from whom ComEd needs property
 106 rights.
- 107 Q. Did ComEd seek alternative routes to the Line?
- 108 A. Yes, we looked at numerous alternatives.
- 109 Q. How did ComEd identify and analyze alternatives?
- 110 A. Given the locations of the substations to be connected, we canvassed the area for possible
 111 transportation rights-of-way that might be feasible for construction. We also discussed
 112 the possible routing with officials at the City of Chicago, and in particular the Streets and
 113 Sanitation Department, to find viable routes, coordinate ComEd's construction with other
 114 possible construction activities, and to evaluate possible underground obstacles that could
 115 delay construction and drive up costs.
- 116 Q. For the Quarry-to-State line, describe what routes ComEd analyzed.
- We examined a number of combinations of city streets and other transportation rights-ofway. The routes which we considered actual, viable alternatives are shown in Attachment JWE-1.

- 120 Q. Why is the proposed route superior to the other alternatives?
- 121 A. The proposed route, option 1X on Attachment JWE-1, requires ComEd to obtain right-of-
- way permits from just two sources, the City of Chicago and the Illinois Department of
- Transportation. It does not involve a river crossing. It is direct and involves few turns.
- By using an angled street, Archer Avenue, for much of its length, it is significantly
- shorter than if we went under east-west and north-south streets alone. As shown on
- 126 Attachment JWE-1, it is the least cost of the alternatives.
- 127 Q. For the LaSalle-to-State line, describe what routes ComEd analyzed.
- 128 A. Again, we examined a number of combinations of city streets and other transportation
- rights-of-way. The routes which we considered actual, viable alternatives are shown in
- 130 Attachment JWE-2.
- 131 Q. Why is the proposed route superior to the other alternatives?
- 132 A. The available routes are all similar in length, because they are typically only a block or
- two from each other. The route that we estimated to be least cost, by an estimated margin
- of about \$360,000, actually would have routed the line down Federal Street instead of
- 135 Clark Street. This is option 4X on Attachment JWE-2. This cost difference was due to
- the substantial number of underground utilities already present along Clark Street, as
- 137 ComEd must carefully work around preexisting structures. However, Federal Street has
- numerous residential driveways, and the project would cause some inconvenience to the
- homeowners during construction. Accordingly, the City of Chicago has requested
- 140 ComEd to use Clark Street, instead of Federal Street. The route we propose is option
- 141 6Xa on Attachment JWE-2.

- 142 Q. How is ComEd's proposal least cost?
- 143 A. ComEd's proposal is least cost because the funds for the extra cost, estimated to be 144 \$360,000, will come from a separate fund, not from ComEd and its ratepayers. Pursuant 145 to a May 18, 1999 settlement agreement between the City of Chicago and ComEd, ComEd established a \$100,000,000 "Energy Reliability and Capacity Account" for 146 energy projects requested by the City of Chicago. The funds that ComEd deposits under 147 148 this agreement are accounted for by ComEd as below-the-line expenses, and therefore not part of ratebase. The City's representatives that control the expenditure of those funds 149 150 have agreed to defray any difference in cost compared to the Federal Street route. We will consider this payment a "contribution in aid of construction," which effectively 151 decreases the cost of the project for ratemaking purposes. On this basis, we conclude that 152 153 the proposed route is not only the best, it is the least cost.
- 154 Q. For either line, did you consider running the cables in the existing freight tunnels?
- 155 A. Yes, we did consider that. However, it added some construction complications, and was
 156 not least cost.
- 157 Q. Will the proposed lines be constructed in accordance with all applicable federal and state 158 regulations and orders of the Illinois Commerce Commission?
- 159 A. Yes. The lines will be constructed in accordance with all applicable regulations and
 160 orders of the Illinois Commerce Commission, including 83 Ill. Admin. Code Part 305,
 161 and the National Electric Safety Code.
- 162 Q. Will the proposed lines produce a magnetic field?

- 163 A. Yes, all electrical lines do.
- Q. Is it anticipated that any problems of inductive interference will result from the lines?
- 165 A. No.
- 166 Q. How will the construction of the lines be managed?
- Α. The lines will be installed by both ComEd forces and by contractors supervised by ComEd. 167 The contracts involved will be managed and field inspection and construction review 168 provided by ComEd's Project and Contract Management Organization (formerly known as 169 the Contract Services Department). This organization and its predecessors have many 170 years of experience in managing this type of work and is adequately staffed to assure all 171 work is done per specifications in a complete workmanlike manner. The majority of 172 ComEd's over five thousand miles of transmission circuits have been installed by outside 173 contractors under direction of this organization and its predecessors. 174
- 175 Q. What is the estimated cost of the construction of the proposed transmission lines?
- 176 A. We now estimate the direct cost of the lines at \$26.24 million in 2002 dollars. This is a
 177 slightly higher figure from our engineering estimate set forth in the petition. We have
 178 been able to refine our estimate because we now have actual bids from contractors.
- 179 Q. What is the estimated cost of all the construction involved in this project, including substation work?
- 181 A. Our current estimate is \$95.4 million in 2002 dollars.

- 182 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 183 A. Yes.

Disadvantages	State St Archer Ave Senour Ave Within Public ROW; most direct/shortest impacts traffic on Archer Ave. Use of Archer Ave. subject to Quarry TDC	Route is longer; thus requiring an additional manhole, cable and cable joints.	Route is approx. 0.22 ml. longer& 9.5% higher in cost than route 2X. Princeton s. of Archer is a narrow row, utility congestion is somewwhat high; contains L13701 on e. side, ameritech on west. Futher utility data required and or test pits required to fully consider this route viable.	Route is approx. 0.08mi. longer but 10.6% higher cost than route 1X. Portions of area below CTA may not be public way; needs further investigation by R.E. Dept. Cta structure foundations may require underpring to allow ductbank installation adjacent to them. Very tight working conditions; concrete would have to be pumped to encase duct, build manholes, spoil haul-out materials and trench backfill materials would be difficult to get to the site areas.
Advantages	Within Public ROW; most direct/shortest route	Within Public ROW. Archer Ave not affected between State St. & Princeton Ave.	Within Public ROW. Archer Ave not affected between State St. & 24th St.	Avoids State Street.
Route Description	State St Archer Ave Senour Ave Quarry TDC	State St 15th St Clark St 18th - Wentworth Ave 19th St Wells St. S.Tan Ct Princeton Ave Archer Ave Senour St Quarry TDC.	State St 15th St Clark St 18th - Wentworth Ave 19th St Wells St. S.Tan Ct Princeton Ave 24th Pl Archer Ave Senour St Quarry TDC.	Under CTA elevated Train route from STATE TDC site (14th Pl.) to Cullerton Ave Archer Ave Senour Ave Quarry TDC.
Est. Route Cost Yr. 2002 \$mil.	15.07	16.19	17.73	16.67
Route Length (mi.)	2.15	2.34	2.56	2.23
Route Option*	Xt	2X	3X	X 4

Route Option*	Route Length (mi.)	Est. Route Cost Yr. 2002 \$mil.	Route Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
1- X	0.95	7.86	LaSalle St. to Polk St. to State St. to TSS	Within Public ROW	Impact on city streets. Underground utility congestion is high. State & Polk Inter, may require tunneling to effectively cross.
1- P	0.95	8.40	Same as Route 1 X	Within Public ROW	Impact on city streets. Underground utility congestion is high. State & Polk Inter. may require tunneling to effectively cross.
1A- X	0.95	7.67	LaSalle St. to Polk St. to Plymouth Ave to 9th St. to State St. to TSS	Within Public ROW	Impact on city streets. Underground utility congestion is resonalbly high. Polk & Plymouth Inter.may require tunneling to cross but less tunneling than Opt. 1. State St. & Roosevelt Rd. intersection may require tunneling to cross.
1A- P	0.95	8.22	Same as Route 1 AX	Within Public ROW	Impact on city streets. Underground utility congestion is resonalbly high. Polk & Plymouth Inter.may require tunneling to cross but less tunneling than Opt. 1. State St. & Roosevelt Rd. intersection may require tunneling to cross.
2-X	0.97	9.73	Largely in Freight Tunnels - LaSalle St. to Roosevelt Rd. to Clark St. to 14th St. to State St. south to TSS	Largely in Freight Tunnels - LaSalle St. to Rouse Row and minimizes impact on city to State St. south to TSS streets and environment.	Access points to Freight Tunnels are costly, engineering time/costs increased.
2-P	0.97	9.03	Same as Route 2X	Within Public ROW and minimizes impact on city streets and environment.	Access points to Freight Tunnels are costly; engineering time/costs increased.
3- X	0.94	12.57	LaSalle St. south to Metra R.R. tracks, parallel tracks to 14th St. then east to State St. south to TSS.	LaSalle St. south to Metra R.R. tracks, parallel tracks to 14th St. then east to State Minimizes street St. south to TSS.	Costly property rights must be acquired. Construction coordination required with Metra. Possible underground obstructions from previous freight yard buildings. Inductive coordination required with Metra.
3. P	0.94	12.53	Same as Route 3X	Minimizes impact to city streets. Minimizes street restoration costs.	Costly property rights must be acquired. Construction coordination required with Metra. Possible underground obstructions from previous freight yard buildings. Inductive coordination required with Metra.
X-4	0.93	7.07	LaSalle St. south to Polk St. east to Clark St. south to 11th Pl. east to Federal St., south to 14th St. east to State St. south to State TSS.	Within public ROW. Minimizes impact to city streets. Minimizes street restoration costs. Underground utility congestion is minimized using this route.	Temporary disruption to Dearborn Park area near intersection of 11th Place & Federal St. R.O.W.
5-X	0.93	7.57	LaSalle St. south to Polk St. east toPlymouth St., south to 14th St., east to State St., south to State TSS.	Within public ROW.	Impact on city streets. Underground utility congestion is reasonalbly high. Polk & Plymouth inter.may require tunneling to cross. Plymouth & Roosevelt intersection may require tunneling to cross.

Attachment JWE-2

Disadvantages	Utility congestion high. Close proximity to and parallels MWRD sewer and water main. Area south of Roosevelt Rd. may require tunneling to cross other utilities.	Utility congestion high. Close proximity to and parallels MWRD sewer and city water main. Area south of Roosevelt Rd. and area at Clark & 14th St.may require tunneling to cross other utilities.				
Advantages	Within Public ROW. Minimizes impact to traffic.	Within Public ROW except utility easement at Clark & 14th St.				
Route Description	LaSalle St. south to Polk St. east to Clark St., south to 15th St. east to State St. north to State TSS.	LaSalle St. south to Polk St. east to Clark St., south to 14th St. east to State St. south to State TSS.				
Est. Route Cost Yr. 2002 \$mil.	8.18	7.43				
Route Length (mi.)	1.06	0.93				
Route Option*	X-9	6-Xa				

Attachment JWE-2

^{* &}quot;X" means XLPE cable system. "P" means HPFF cable system.